UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/689,893	10/21/2003	Harold F. Carrison	1001.1695101	3877	
	7590 05/16/200 SFAGER & TUETE 1		EXAMINER		
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE			TRUONG, KEVIN THAO		
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3734		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/689,893	CARRISON ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Kevin T. Truong	3734				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03/02	<u>7/2007</u> .					
· —	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1,3-12 and 14-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
, —	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-12, and 14-23</u> is/are rejected.						
. —	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
1	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
*							
Attachment(s)							
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail l					
3) 🔲 Info	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

Note: This is in response to an Amendment filed 03/07/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-12, and 14-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hermann et al. (U.S. 5,527,326) in view of Bates et al. (U.S. 6,468,291).

Note in figures 5-8 and 12-14 of patent to Hermann et al., a first and second strut (14,28) having loop regions (22,34); wherein the first and second struts (14,28) are attached to the shaft (16) or the distal end of the first strut (14) is inherently capable of being slidably disposed along the shaft (16); wherein the Hermann et al device is capable of having a third strut mounted thereon; and furthermore, wherein the first and second loop regions (22,24) overlap with one another about the shaft (16) (see fig. 8).

Alternative, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Hermann et al device distal end of the first strut (14) slidably disposed on the shaft (16) and includes a third strut mounted on the shaft (16) as taught by Bates et al. so that the shaft is free to rotate or translate while the struts remain stationary and to further provide adequate expansion and control of the loop.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,893

Art Unit: 3734

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/07/2007 have been fully considered but they are 3. not persuasive. Applicant argues that the first and second struts (14,28) of Hermann et al. slidably disposed on the shaft (16) and not attached to the shaft (16) as recited in the claims. This is simple not true at all, given their broadest reasonable interpretation, the proximal end of the first and second struts (14,28) of Hermann et al. are clearly considered being attached to the shaft (16). Furthermore, in response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Hermann et al device distal end of the first strut (14) slidably disposed on the shaft (16) and includes a third strut mounted on the shaft (16) as taught by Bates et al. so that the shaft is free to rotate or translate while the struts remain stationary and to further provide adequate expansion and control of the loop. The Examiner disagrees with Applicant's remarks and has maintained the grounds of rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hermann et al. (U.S.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,893

Art Unit: 3734

5,527,326) in view of Bates et al. (U.S. 6,468,291) for the same reasons as set forth in the previous office action.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin T. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-4705. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on 571-272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/689,893

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin T. Truong
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3734

ktt